



(This was summary was sent as an e-mail to all workshop 2 participants 14-01-2021)

Dear participant,

Thank you for your participation in the second workshop on countertrade models on January 12<sup>th</sup>, 2021.

The high level of interaction at the workshop proved that it is possible to engage in discussions online both through the chat function and spoken – having said that, it was challenging to ensure a good and well-structured dialog.

During the workshop I noted the following feedback:

- Market participants would have preferred to be closer involved in the process of Energinet developing a preference for the intraday model.
- Initially it was challenged whether there was a problem driving the work, however the lack of competition in the current model was communicated to be the main problem driving the need for a new countertrade model.
- Market participants challenged why Energinet cannot continue the use of special regulation for countertrade purposes when it can continue to be used for purposes such as redispatch by other TSOs.
- The fundamental assumption that minimum capacities only apply in the day-ahead timeframe was challenged.
- It was questioned why a common TSO countertrade model has not been further investigated. Energinet answered that it was not desired to make a new market only for countertrade, when an existing market could be a solution, and furthermore a common TSO countertrade model would require at participation by at least the Nordic TSOs, and there is currently no interest in that.
- It was clear that several danish producers preferred the current countertrade model, and they argued that the effect of increased day ahead capacity would diminish if countertrade was done in intraday. Whereas other participants argued that it should not be forgotten that the effect is also diminished today, as the “virtual” capacity given in day-ahead is countertraded in the balancing timeframe.
- Some participants stated that an intraday model would be more efficient than special regulation.
- A few inputs to the design of an intraday countertrade model was received. E.g. Energinet was advised not to countertrade more than necessary. This will be taken up at the third workshop as there was not sufficient time to discuss the actual design options for an intraday model.

As some of the questions raised at the workshop needs further elaboration, and as the planned discussion on the actual setup of an intraday model was not covered, Energinet already during the second workshop informed that a third stakeholder workshop will be needed. On this third workshop, Energinet will round up the questions which need further elaboration, but the main purpose of the third workshop is to get stakeholder input on the setup of an intraday model.

The third workshop will be held on February 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2021 and this workshop will unfortunately also have to be organized as an online event.

The invitation with a link to the registration site for workshop 3 will be send out next week.

The memo send prior to the workshop and the presentations used during the workshop can be found [here](#) on the event site for Workshop 2 on alternative countertrade models.

This e-mail is being send to both people that registered for workshop 2 and participated, to those that registered and did not participate, and to those that participated without registration. Tomorrow all participants at the second workshop will receive a link to a survey evaluation, and we look forward to receiving your input.